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The New Markets Lab

- Non-profit center for law and development that houses comparative expertise and an international team of lawyers focused on leveraging law and regulation as a tool for sustainable economic development and providing thought leadership and legal capacity in an evolving global market.

- House a innovative set of legal tools
  - Benchmark national systems against international rules and good regulatory practices
  - Highlight regulatory tradeoffs and options that will result in improvements in implementation
  - Use scenario-based planning to customize reform efforts

- NML works to build legal capacity through our legal tools, stakeholder consultations, ongoing partnerships, and scenario-based regulatory models
  - Improve the design and implementation of economic laws and regulations,
  - Address regulatory bottlenecks as they arise
  - Support local efforts to strengthen the feedback loop between regulators and local communities
NML’s Partnership with SFSA Under Seeds2B

- NML has a longstanding partnership with the Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture (SFSA) and its Seeds2B project, which is designed to help farmers access quality, affordable seeds of improved varieties for the crops they need.

- Partnership focused on:
  - **Capacity Building:** Increase awareness of regulatory aspects of seed systems among private sector actors, breeders, lawyers, and other stakeholders.
  - **Case Studies:** Assess the implementation of regional seed initiatives at the national level (countries covered include Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, Zambia, and Zimbabwe).
  - **Test Cases:** “Stress test” regional regulatory systems and determine how they work in practice.

- NML and SFSA have facilitated regional registration of nearly 30 seed varieties across 7 crops in East Africa, COMESA, and SADC.
The Partnership for Seed Technology Transfer in Africa (PASTTA), is a USAID funded partnership among Seeds2B, NML, and the African Agricultural Technology Foundation (AATF) that aims to enable the transfer of best-bet seed-based technologies.

PASTTA addresses some of the main bottlenecks in the market to improve:

- Promotion and dissemination of new varieties, in particular those selected by the public sector.
- Involvement of the seed private sector in multiplication, commercial development, and dissemination of seed varieties.
- Demand for new varieties.
- Harmonization of seed regulations.
The Accelerated Varietal Improvement and Seed Delivery of Legumes and Cereals in Africa (AVISA) project is funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and involves several partners, including the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), National Agricultural Research Organizations, and SFSA and NML.

Project partners are working towards establishing a robust seed system that:

- Increases the quantity and quality of performance data substantiating varietal superiority;
- Boosts the availability of EGS seed by strengthening the technical and business acumen of the public EGS systems through technical, management and business capacity building;
- Establishes a clear path and handover process from the research system to the private sector; and
- Enables private sector multipliers to seize opportunities to capitalize on the commercialization of the targeted crops.

NML and SFSA will contribute through:

- Practical regulatory interventions to improve implementation of harmonized rules
- Development of fair and equitable licensing models
- Hands-on learning modules to build regulatory capacity
Introduction & Background –
Elements of Seed Regulatory Systems

- **Seed Variety Release and Regulation**
  - Most countries have a formal process for seed variety release and registration that includes testing for Distinctness, Uniformity, and Stability (DUS) and Value for Cultivation and Use (VCU) before new varieties can be released; number of seasons of testing varies by country and region.

- **Seed Certification**
  - Most countries require that seed go through a formal process of certification before commercialization (seed must be formally released and registered before certification); also covered under regional rules (e.g. COMESA).

- **Seed Trade**
  - National and regional seed rules govern the importation and exportation of seed, including sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) controls.

- **Plant Variety Protection (PVP)**
  - Legal framework for ownership of varieties; underpins licensing and commercialization of seed.
  - Arusha Protocol includes provisions on compulsory, exclusive, and non-exclusive licensing of regional varieties.
IP Licensing - Background

- A licensing agreement is essentially a contract between two entities, or “parties”

- Licensing allows for the transfer of technology from the inventor to the user, while still maintaining control of how the variety is used

- Why enter into a licensing agreement?
  - If you are a seed company…?
  - If you are a research institute…?
IP Licensing – Rationales

- Transfer seed technology and increase opportunities for commercialization
- Improve farmer access to diverse, high quality seed varieties
- Allow variety owners the option to try new geographical markets with relatively low upfront risk
- Provide possible source of funding for public research institutions
The specific **terms**, or parts, of the licensing agreement depend upon the international, regional, and national legal frameworks of the relevant country.

The legal/regulatory framework:
- Impacts the strategies the licensor/licensee chooses to protect the variety
- Governs should there be a dispute or breach of rights

Some countries in Africa follow a **common law system** and others a **civil law system**

Some **common practices** exist in both systems, like the need to agree upon and carefully defining terms contained in the contract; this kind of information should be reflected in a licensing agreement (typically in the introductory section).

However, there are key differences between the two systems is that in a **civil law system defines a greater number of contractual terms by law** (contracts are shorter as a result), while **common law systems allow more freedom** for the contracting parties to define their own terms.
IP Licensing – Elements of a Licensing Agreement

- Definitions (Parties, Terms, etc.)
- License Type/Exclusivity
- Territory/Scope
- Compensation/Royalties
- Termination and Dispute Resolution
- Other Considerations
  - Sublicensing
  - Reporting
  - Flexible Clauses
  - Compulsory Licensing
Elements of a Licensing Agreement - Exclusivity

- Three Types of Licensing Agreements:
  - **Exclusive**
    - LICENSOR grants to the LICENSEE the *exclusive and non-transferable* right to produce, market and sell seed of the following crop variety(ies) in [Insert Territory].

  - **Limited Exclusivity**
    - LICENSOR grants to the LICENSEE the *exclusive and non-transferable* right to produce, market, and sell seed of the following crop variety(ies) in [Insert Territory] for a period of [insert time limit].

  - **Non-Exclusive**
    - LICENSOR grants to the LICENSEE the *non-exclusive and non-transferable* right to produce, market and sell seed of the following crop variety(ies) in [Insert Territory]. The licensor remains free to exploit the same IP and allow any number of other licensees to exploit the same.

- **Example**: KALRO – Kisima Farms Case Study (non-exclusive license)
Case Study – KALRO and Kisima Farms

- Agreement to license potato seeds in Kenya
  - National research institute (KALRO) had been doing licensing agreements for years, but with no royalties
  - Wanted to find a way to get its research out onto the market, while also increasing access to quality seed
  - Seed company (Kisima) wanted to get the latest variety of seeds out to its customers

Takeaway: Well-designed licensing agreements can help public breeders and research institutions generate needed revenue through royalties, while expanding access to seed
Another element of exclusivity is defining the **material to be licensed**

Depends upon several factors and interests of both the licensor/licensee (experience, market viability, existing portfolios, and work with other breeders)

For seed, the material usually falls within three main categories:
- Single varieties
- Selected crops/species
- All varieties
Elements of a Licensing Agreement - Territory/Scope

- Territory defines **where the seed can be commercialized/sold**
  - “This License is granted for the territory of [location, region, etc.]”

- Most often the territory will be a country, but it could also be a region
  - Regional scope might be desirable in locations with well harmonized rules on PVP

- Duration of the contract is also part of scope – **how long will the licensing agreement last?**
  - Factors to consider: length of time it takes to negotiate, the type of crop covered, level of exclusivity
  - **Note:** Longer contract terms may mean less flexibility to renegotiate royalty prices
Elements of a Licensing Agreement - Royalties

- A royalty is the fee a licensee pays the licensor
  - This can also include fees related to the use of licensed varieties
  - Should be at an acceptable market rate to ensure both access and profitability

- When and How royalties are paid should also be included:
  - Exact method depends upon needs of particular parties
  - Payment might specify a date, or it could be more flexible (e.g.: 6 months after the end of the financial year of licensee)
  - BUT NOTE – National laws may have restrictions on when and how royalties can be paid (e.g.: for farm saved seed)
Elements of a Licensing Agreement – Royalties Cont’d

- Royalties are typically done on a case-by-case basis, but there are some “models” or “formulas”
  - Fixed royalty rate
  - Royalties connected to seed price
  - Minimum royalty rate
  - Royalty intervals and sold quantities
  - Multiplication acreage and end-point royalties

- **Example:** In the case study example (KALRO – Kisima Farms), there was a fixed royalty rate that was a percentage of the yearly seed sales
Elements of a Licensing Agreement - Termination

- This part of the licensing agreement outlines what happens: 1) when the contract “naturally” terminates, and 2) if there are any events that would trigger termination (e.g.: a breach of contract)

  Example: The KARLO-Kisima Farm case study contains both types of provisions

- How to renew the contract should also appear here (upon mutual agreement by the parties, automatic renewal, or other)

- Additionally, the agreement should include a provision on the process for resolving any dispute should there be a breach of contract. This should include:
  - The governing law/jurisdiction
    - Example: This agreement shall be subject to the laws of Kenya.
  - The method for resolving disputes
    - Will there be arbitration?
    - A set period of mediation?
    - Will the parties use the local court system of the governing legal system?
Elements of a Licensing Agreement - Other Considerations

- **Sublicensing** occurs when the original breeder has licensed out its seed but then the licensee wants to transfer that right to another company
  - **Example**: an original exclusive right with granting of a “right of first refusal” to license

- **Reporting to Licensor** is a way for the licensor to check in on the progress for commercialization

- **“Use it or lose it”** clauses make sure that the variety licensed is marketed by the licensee

- **Policy Notes**
  - **Smallholder friendly clauses**:
    - Waiver of rights to collect smallholder licensing fees
    - Agreement to make a majority percentage of the seed produced available to third parties
    - Agreement to establish smaller seed pack sizes
  - **Gender** - **How to ensure that seed is made available to women growers?**
Elements of a licensing agreement – Compulsory Licensing

- Under certain circumstances including public interest (enumerated in PVP laws), government authorities may grant a **compulsory license** for an entity other than the IP holder
  - These are non-assignable and non-exclusive
  - Except in cases of national emergency, the proposed licensee must have made efforts to obtain the license voluntarily under reasonable commercial terms
  - The rights holder must receive equitable remuneration

- A compulsory license is traditionally perceived, under certain conditions, to counterbalance the exclusive rights of the IP rights holder, but it could also be a way of ensuring farmers’ access to new, important, and improved varieties

- The scope and duration of the license will be limited to the public interest reasons for which it was authorized
Questions to Consider

- What kind of licensing model best suits the needs of the parties?
- What are your “must have” provisions?
- What kind of crops would you license based on demand and need in the market/territory?
- How would you address the main contractual elements:
  - Exclusivity
  - Territory/Scope
  - Royalties
  - Terminating the Agreement
- Evaluate your sample contract for its sensitivity to some of the policy considerations, e.g. gender, smallholder farmers (for example, would you waive the right to collect smallholder licensing fees? Adjust packaging size?)
- How would you propose to resolve potential disputes?
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